



Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee

Virtual Meeting Agenda

In accordance with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N25-29 the August 18, 2020 Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee meeting will be held virtually.

The August 18, 2020, Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee will be facilitated virtually through Zoom.

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY NOT ATTEND THIS MEETING IN PERSON

Members of the public can watch or listen to the meeting using one of the following methods:

Topic: Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee Meeting

Time: Aug 18, 2020 05:00 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Please click the link below to join the meeting:

<https://sonomacounty.zoom.us/j/98438004650?pwd=ZDExZmZCSW1SRWpreGNEbi9BUzZMQT09>

Passcode: 273347

Or Telephone:

US: +1 669 900 9128

Meeting ID: 984 3800 4650

Passcode: 273347

Public Comment During the Meeting: You may email public comment to daniel.castillo@sonoma-county.org. All emailed public comments will be forwarded to all Committee Members and read during the meeting for the benefit of the public. Please include your name and the relevant agenda item number to which your comment refers. In addition, if you have joined as a member of the public through the Zoom app, or by calling in, there will be specific points throughout the meeting during which live public comment may be made via Zoom and phone.

DISABLED ACCOMODATION: If you have a disability which requires an accommodation, an alternative format, or requires another person to assist you while attending this meeting, please contact Regional Parks at (707) 565-2041 at least 48 hours in advance to ensure arrangements for accommodation, or e-mail us at parks@sonoma-county.org at least 2 working days before the meeting.

Committee Members

Karen Collins (District 1)

John Mills (District 2)

Rhonda M. Ahanotu (District 3)

Carol Eber (At Large)

Meredith Rennie (District 4)

Maria Membrila (District 5)

Dan Condrón (At Large)

Agenda

1. Welcome/Overview

2. Comments from the Public – Public may address the Committee on matters that are not on the agenda

Members of the public wishing to suggest an item for a future Committee agenda may do so during this public comment period. The Ralph M. Brown Act (the State local agency open meeting law) prohibits the Committee from acting on any matter that is not on the agenda. Speakers are limited to three minutes each; the Committee Chair may adjust the time limit in light of the number of anticipated speakers.

3. Approval of the Minutes - of the February 18, 2020, meeting of the Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee meeting

4. Reports and Updates

Auditor's Report:

- FY 19-20 revenue amounts collected and distributed
- FY 20-21 projected revenues

City Updates (Rohnert Park, Santa Rosa, Cloverdale, Healdsburg, Sonoma, Cotati) – 5 minutes each

FY 19-20 Preliminary Draft Annual Report

5. Action Items

Approve the Proposed Agenda for Fall Meeting

Review Adopted FY 20-21 Budget for MOE compliance

Review and Approve Draft of Year #1 Annual Report

6. Adjournment

Materials related to an item on the Parks & Recreation Advisory Commission agenda are available for public inspection (after distribution of the agenda packet) in the Regional Parks main office located at 2300 County Center Drive, Room A120, Santa Rosa, California, 95403 during normal business hours. Contact: Laura Cordes (707) 565-3351.

For further information, please call (707) 565-2041 or see our website at www.sonomacountyparks.org.

Draft

Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee Minutes

DATE: Monday, February 18, 2020

PLACE: Sonoma County Regional Parks Office
2300 County Center Drive
La Plaza Building A, Rm 212
Santa Rosa, California 95403

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

The meeting started at 5:00pm.

Committee Members Present: John Mills, Meredith Rennie, Maria Membrila, Dan Condrón, Karen Collins, Carol Eber and Rhonda Ahanotu

Staff Present: Bert Whitaker, Director and Melanie Parker, Deputy Director

2. Comments from the Public – Public may address the Committee on matters that are not on the agenda

None.

3. Approval of the Minutes – of the November 19, 2019 meeting of the Parks Measure M Citizens Oversight Committee meeting

VOTED to approve the minutes of the November 19, 2019 meeting, as follows:

MOTION:	Committee Member Dan Condrón	AYES: 7	ABSTAIN: 0
SECOND:	Committee Member John Mills	ABSENT: 0	VACANT: 0

4. Reports and Updates

- Auditor's Report – Daniel Castillo, Administrative Services Officer II

Daniel Castillo, introduced himself. He has been working for the Regional Parks department for 1.5 months. He is the new Administrative Services Officer. He was previously located in Sacramento as a Branch Chief for a state agency, the Department of Housing and Community Development. He is originally from Sonoma County and is very happy to be back. He realizes that he has big shoes to fill.

He distributed the Measure M Disbursement Summary. He discussed the three disbursements made so far. This summary produces a straight-line forecast with a 6% increase in revenue from the original estimates.

He explained that election costs were taken from last year's disbursements. The January 2020 disbursement incurred only minor costs.

Committee Member Karen Collins asked how often the disbursements are distributed.

Mr. Castillo said they are distributed quarterly.

Committee Member Meredith Rennie asked if there is a gap. And if so, how much? Will it show up in the annual report? She would like to regularly track the costs to process the money.

Mr. Castillo answered \$300,000 in election costs and that under \$5,000 was assessed each quarter thereafter.

Committee Member John Mills wanted to know how they arrive at administration costs.

Melanie Parker, Deputy Director, answered that Regional Parks only administers Measure M funding for Parks and not the cities.

Committee Member Carol Eber asked if there was an impact on sales taxes as a result of the recent fires.

Mr. Castillo said that year-over-year there appeared to be gradual growth but he had not evaluated historical sales tax information.

Bert Whitaker, Director, added that there was in fact an impact from the fires.

Committee Member Karen Collins asked if press releases would be sent out regarding Measure M use.

Ms. Parker said that one of the goals is that stories will be collected and pushed out.

Committee Member Maria Membrilla asked if there is going to be a website or some sort of digital information page.

Ms. Parker said that the creation of a website is a good question.

- Logo Update – Melanie Parker, Deputy Director

Ms. Parker said the logo sub-committee voted on a "Parks For All" logo and she shared a handout of the logo. The logo will be used to tell the story of how Measure M tax dollars are being spent.

Committee Member Dan Condrón commented on the success of finding an agreed upon logo so quickly. He said it is a hard task to come to agreement. He is aware the process can take a long time and the logo looks great!

Committee Member Maria Membrilla asked where the logo would be placed and who would pay for its use.

Ms. Parker said that the logo committee has created an 8-page guideline that describes how it will be used. Each city will pay for their own use of it.

Committee Member John Mills noted that there is no mention of the cities or parks on the logo.

Ms. Parker noted that it could be used alongside each city's own individual logo and that the logo states "Measure M Your Parks Sales Tax in Action" and that will help identify projects with Measure M funding.

Ms. Parker said she would bring this topic back on the next agenda for more discussion.

- City Updates – Windsor, Sebastopol and Petaluma

Ms. Parker said that in the spirit of transparency, it was agreed, that three agencies would present at committee meetings. Tonight we will hear presentations from the Windsor, Sebastopol and Petaluma.

Jon Davis, Parks & Recreation Director for the Town of Windsor, shared a draft and preliminary outline of the ways Windsor could spend their Measure M dollars. He stated they are taking a very conservative approach.

Possibilities include:

- Better outreach and more diverse programs for the underserved populations;
- Additional funding for their scholarship program;
- Increase number of programs for the senior community;
- Create inclusive play equipment on the playgrounds;
- Work on unfunded capital improvement projects, create a new dog park;
- Use funding for deferred maintenance projects;
- Possible expansion of Keiser park, playground equipment, more athletic fields;
- Better software and use of GIS mapping technology.

Committee Member Carol Eber said that he should reach out to Sonoma County Parks Community Engagement Manager, Bethany Facendini, to get insights on how they have started to produce data.

Susan Kirks, of Petaluma, thanked Mr. Davis for his presentation and said that she really loved the way they were serving the community first. She thinks that is what the heart of Measure M is all about. This approach is a good way to look at use of this funding.

Committee Member Dan Condrón asked how will the cities and county all share information with each other.

Ms. Parker said that a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) has been formed. The TAC recently met, and found these meetings are a very useful way for them to share and communicate with each other. The group will continue to meet on a quarterly basis.

Mr. Davis said that a Basecamp account has been set up in the cloud for use by the cities and county as a way to share information and their use of this tool is growing and gaining momentum.

Committee Member Ahanotu commented that is an excellent idea.

Ms. Parker stated that Kari Svanstrom from the City of Sebastopol was not able to attend to tonight's meeting but sent the following information on how funding could be used:

- Tree replacement project
- Create Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) pathway at Ives Park
- Leverage Prop 68 dollars for playground and path repairing

Drew Halter, Recreation Supervisor for the City of Petaluma, distributed a one-page handout of how Measure M funding might be used. He introduced Cindy Chong who is their Recreation & Facilities Manager.

Petaluma's plan is broken down into three sections: "Capital Improvement Projects (CIP)/Parks & Recreation Approved Fiscal Year 19/20 Measure M funding"; "Public Outreach Process"; and "Looking Ahead/Upcoming Initiative".

The first section, approved CIP projects, includes playground replacements, Lucchesi turf field and lighting replacement, multi-use and park pathway restoration, tennis and pickle ball resurfacing study and the hiring and reinstallation of a Park Maintenance Worker I position.

The second section breaks down their public outreach plan and process for the upcoming year. It includes public workshops, a public survey, and taking their Parks Commissioners on a tour of parks. Then the information will be compiled, reviewed, and presented in a preliminary report.

Committee Member Karen Collins said that she would be interested in receiving a copy of the survey that was used.

Committee Member Carol Eber commented that she thought the survey was too long. She actually dropped out of the process it was so long.

Cindy Chong said and that receiving community feedback was very important. For instance, the pathway to the playground at McNeers Park was repaired, and a biologist was brought in to look at the overgrowth of trees at Shollenberger Park. She stated that small projects could have a big impact.

Committee Member Carol Eber suggested that they work with the staff Argus Courier to get the good word out about Measure M projects.

Committee Member John Mills noted the costs for the new employee salary and commented that it seemed hidden and not transparent.

Mr. Halter said that the department had cuts during the recession and they cannot do the work without additional staff. He politely disagreed and said they put forth their best effort at transparency and honesty.

Susan Kirks said she wants to be a positive contributor to this process, however, she agrees with Committee Member John Mills that it seems that restoring staffing with Measure M funding seemed to be a priority.

Committee Member Meredith Rennie stated that she has heard different presentations and did not feel that there was a lack of transparency or ill will, and that she appreciated the presentation.

Marja Tarr, from Petaluma, and a member of the Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee, said she seconds those comments.

Eris Weaver, as the Executive Director of the Sonoma County Bicycle Coalition said that she has seen a decline and downsizing of children playing outside and riding bikes. There is a struggle with backyard space in Sonoma County. We need places to bring people together. Playgrounds need to be safe and inclusive.

Committee Member Meredith Rennie said it has been her observation that it is more common for people to drive to a place to play, than it is to walk.

Committee Member Carol Eber worked on the Measure M campaign and that Measure M funds were not meant to supplant the cities General Fund budgets.

The third section is categories for investment Fiscal Year 2020-21, prioritized from A to G.

5. Action Items

- **Discuss and possibly adopt the recommendation received in public comment to request additional information from the county and all nine cities and towns**

This information could include:

1. The percent of the projected increase in General Fund Revenues for the budget year
2. The average annual general fund increase budgeted for departments funded by general funds
3. The percentage of increased general funds budgeted for Parks and Recreation in the new fiscal year

Jim Nantell, former Deputy Director for Sonoma County Regional Parks, addressed the committee and distributed a copy of the letter he previously brought to the August committee meeting. He previously worked 23 years as a city manager. He also worked as the Deputy Director for Sonoma County Regional Parks and spent the last three years working on the passing of Measure M. He has strong feelings about the measure and wants to be sure that the committee will be stewards of this measure.

The intent was that the proceeds from this measure should not be used to supplant an agencies general fund contribution, and other than a financial downturn, should not be less than allocated for the previous year.

He said the City of Petaluma is using 15/16 as their baseline year. He urged the committee to work with staff and develop the appropriate questions to ask why. His fear is that the county and cities will stop giving general fund money to parks. He asked that they keep in touch and talk to their elected officials about this.

Mr. Nantell further said that they need to be aware that the funds should not supplant any historical funding. He urged them to monitor the cities and let them know if you feel they are not meeting the language of Measure M. Ask them if they are using it to fund increases. He stated you might not have any authority, but you do have influence.

Committee Member Meredith Rennie said that she realizes it is their job is to oversee Measure M funds. However, she would like to understand what he wants them to do differently.

Mr. Nantell responded that they direct staff to include the appropriate questions, such as the ones listed in his letter. This committee can be leverage for the park departments.

Committee Member Maria Membrila said they need to be sure they receive all of the information.

Susan Kirks said that she loves Mr. Nantell's passion and commitment to empower the public to be aware. This is really good advice and she supports it!

Mr. Nantell stated, "Don't accept ridiculous interpretations".

Committee Member John Mills said that the Maintenance of Effort (MOE) baseline years for each city have already been approved.

Director Whitaker cautioned against a "one-size fits all approach" and that the intent of Measure M was not to subsidize budgets.

Committee Member John Mills suggested that they look at Mr. Nantell's letter and bring this topic back on a future agenda.

Committee Member Carol Eber said she would like to look at the ordinance.

Ms. Parker said they would take this conversation into consideration, and come back to the committee. She said this could be a legal question as to whether we can revisit the MOE's based on new information.

The cities that will report at the next meeting will be Rohnert Park, Santa Rosa and Cloverdale.

6. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 7:00pm

Respectfully submitted by,

Laura Cordes, Executive Assistant

Ordinance 6238 - Parks Measure M

Sales Tax Revenue Estimate - FY 2019-20

Measure M (1/8 cent)

Actual 19/20

FY 19-20 Estimated	\$	12,350,000	\$	12,309,690
---------------------------	-----------	-------------------	-----------	-------------------

Regional Parks (66.7%)	\$	8,237,450	\$	8,210,563
- Maintenance (25%)	\$	3,087,500	\$	3,077,423
- Access (23.4%)	\$	2,889,900	\$	2,880,467
- Protection (18.3%)	\$	2,260,050	\$	2,252,673
	\$	8,237,450	\$	8,210,563

Pop per Ca Dept

Cities (33.3%) - dist by Populat	\$	4,112,550	of Finance 5/1/19	Ratio	Actual 19/20
- Santa Rosa	\$	2,012,479	\$ 175,625	49%	\$ 2,006,162
- Petaluma	\$	713,286	\$ 62,247	17%	\$ 711,517
- Rohnert Park	\$	496,620	\$ 43,339	12%	\$ 495,374
- Windsor	\$	327,325	\$ 28,565	8%	\$ 326,309
- Healdsburg	\$	143,248	\$ 12,501	3%	\$ 142,419
- Sonoma	\$	132,420	\$ 11,556	3%	\$ 131,477
- Cloverdale	\$	106,076	\$ 9,257	3%	\$ 105,829
- Cotati	\$	90,743	\$ 7,919	2%	\$ 89,999
- Sebastopol	\$	90,354	\$ 7,885	2%	\$ 90,042
	\$	4,112,550	\$ 358,894	100%	\$ 4,099,127

County of Sonoma
Measure M sales tax revenue
Disbursement Reconciliation
Fiscal Year 2019 - 2020

Gross Proceeds per CDTFA	12,309,690.11
- Less ACTTC Admin Fees Q1 FY 19/20	(2,505.97)
- Less Election Costs	-
- Less State of California fees	(4,375.93)
- Add Interest income	36,475.31
Net Proceeds to Disburse 10/15/2019	12,339,283.52

Sonoma County Regional Parks	66.70%	8,210,563.30
Cities	33.30%	4,099,126.81
	100.00%	12,309,690.11

Reconciliation	Ratio	Revenue		Expenses			Total Disbursement
		Sales Tax Revenue	Interest income	ACTTC	CDTFA Admin	Election	
- County Parks:							
Maintenance	25.00%	3,077,422.50	9,083.86	(626.48)	(1,093.98)	-	3,084,785.90
Access	23.40%	2,880,467.49	8,502.48	(586.40)	(1,023.97)	-	2,887,359.60
Protection	18.30%	2,252,673.30	6,649.37	(458.61)	(800.80)	-	2,258,063.26
- Total County	66.70%	8,210,563.29	24,235.71	(1,671.49)	(2,918.75)	-	8,230,208.76
- Cities:							
Santa Rosa		2,006,161.75	5,990.45	(408.59)	(713.06)	-	2,011,030.55
Petaluma		711,516.50	2,125.07	(145.17)	(252.74)	-	713,243.66
Rohnert Park		495,374.44	1,479.51	(101.06)	(175.97)	-	496,576.92
Windsor		326,308.56	974.38	(66.46)	(115.98)	-	327,100.50
Healdsburg		142,418.80	424.89	(28.78)	(50.76)	-	142,764.15
Sonoma		131,476.61	392.07	(26.44)	(46.92)	-	131,795.32
Cloverdale		105,828.83	316.09	(21.62)	(37.59)	-	106,085.71
Sebastopol		89,999.40	268.67	(18.28)	(32.01)	-	90,217.78
Cotati		90,041.93	268.47	(18.08)	(32.15)	-	90,260.17
- Total Cities	33.30%	4,099,126.82	12,239.60	(834.48)	(1,457.18)	-	4,109,074.76
TOTALS		12,309,690.11	36,475.31	(2,505.97)	(4,375.93)	-	12,339,283.52

County of Sonoma
Measure M sales tax revenue
Disbursement Reconciliation
Fiscal year 2019 - 2020 - First quarter

Gross Proceeds per CDTFA	
May	1,034,661.11
June	1,202,718.50
July	974,950.84
- Less ACTTC Admin Fees Q1 FY 19/20	(1,305.74)
- Less Election Costs	-
- Add Interest income	7,325.14
Net Proceeds to Disburse	3,218,349.85

Sonoma County Regional Parks	66.70%	2,142,624.41
Cities	33.30%	1,069,706.04
	100.00%	3,212,330.45

Reconciliation	Ratio	Revenue		Expenses		Net Disbursement
		Sales Tax Revenue	Interest income	ACTTC	Election	
- County Parks:						
Maintenance	25.00%	803,082.61	1,800.09	(326.42)	-	804,556.28
Access	23.40%	751,685.33	1,684.88	(305.55)	-	753,064.66
Protection	18.30%	587,856.47	1,317.66	(238.97)	-	588,935.16
- Total County	66.70%	2,142,624.41	4,802.63	(870.94)	-	2,146,556.10
- Cities:	Pop. per CA Dept of Finance 5/1/19					
Santa Rosa	48.94%	523,461.31	1,234.39	(212.79)	-	524,482.91
Petaluma	17.34%	185,531.08	437.51	(75.40)	-	185,893.19
Rohnert Park	12.08%	129,174.60	304.61	(52.50)	-	129,426.71
Windsor	7.96%	85,139.77	200.77	(34.61)	-	85,305.93
Healdsburg	3.48%	37,260.01	87.86	(15.15)	-	37,332.72
Sonoma	3.22%	34,443.38	81.22	(13.98)	-	34,510.62
Cloverdale	2.58%	27,591.07	65.06	(11.23)	-	27,644.90
Sebastopol	2.20%	23,501.74	55.42	(9.55)	-	23,547.61
Cotati	2.21%	23,603.08	55.67	(9.59)	-	23,649.16
- Total Cities	100.00%	1,069,706.04	2,522.51	(434.80)	-	1,071,793.75
TOTALS		3,212,330.45	7,325.14	(1,305.74)	-	3,218,349.85

County of Sonoma
Measure M sales tax revenue
Disbursement Reconciliation
Fiscal year 2019 - 2020 - Second quarter

Gross Proceeds per CDTFA		
August		1,158,497.65
September		1,136,265.88
October		1,008,536.82
- Less ACTTC Admin Fees FY 19/20		-
- Less Election Costs		-
- Less State of California fees		(4,375.93)
- Add Interest income		12,920.09
Net Proceeds to Disburse		<u>3,311,844.51</u>

Sonoma County Regional Parks	66.70%	2,203,301.33
Cities	33.30%	<u>1,099,999.02</u>
	100.00%	3,303,300.35

Reconciliation	Ratio	Revenue		Expenses			Net Disbursement
		Sales Tax Revenue	Interest income	ACTTC	CDTFA Admin	Election	
- County Parks:							
Maintenance	25.00%	825,825.07	3,260.18	-	(1,093.98)	-	827,991.27
Access	23.40%	772,972.29	3,051.54	-	(1,023.97)	-	774,999.86
Protection	18.30%	604,503.97	2,386.46	-	(800.80)	-	606,089.63
- Total County	66.70%	2,203,301.33	8,698.18	-	(2,918.75)	-	2,209,080.76
- Cities:	Pop. per CA Dept of Finance 5/1/19						
Santa Rosa	48.94%	538,285.19	2,065.98	-	(713.06)	-	539,638.11
Petaluma	17.34%	190,785.13	732.25	-	(252.74)	-	191,264.64
Rohnert Park	12.08%	132,832.70	509.83	-	(175.97)	-	133,166.56
Windsor	7.96%	87,550.84	336.03	-	(115.98)	-	87,770.89
Healdsburg	3.48%	38,315.18	147.06	-	(50.76)	-	38,411.48
Sonoma	3.22%	35,418.78	135.94	-	(46.92)	-	35,507.80
Cloverdale	2.58%	28,372.43	108.90	-	(37.59)	-	28,443.74
Sebastopol	2.20%	24,167.29	92.76	-	(32.01)	-	24,228.04
Cotati	2.21%	24,271.48	93.16	-	(32.15)	-	24,332.49
- Total Cities	100.00%	1,099,999.02	4,221.91	-	(1,457.18)	-	1,102,763.75
TOTALS		3,303,300.35	12,920.09	-	(4,375.93)	-	3,311,844.51

County of Sonoma
Measure M sales tax revenue
Disbursement Reconciliation
Fiscal year 2019-2020 - Third quarter

Gross Proceeds per CDTFA	
November	1,081,945.74
December	1,370,652.60
January	943,663.81
- Less ACTTC Admin Fees FY 19/20	-
- Less Election Costs	-
- Less State of California fees	-
- Add Interest income	10,497.95
Net Proceeds to Disburse	3,406,760.10

Sonoma County Regional Parks	66.70%	2,265,306.85
Cities	33.30%	1,130,955.30
	100.00%	3,396,262.15

Reconciliation	Ratio	Revenue		Expenses			Net Disbursement
		Sales Tax Revenue	Interest income	ACTTC	CDTFA Admin	Election	
- County Parks:							
Maintenance	25.00%	849,065.53	2,617.06	-	-	-	851,682.59
Access	23.40%	794,725.34	2,449.56	-	-	-	797,174.90
Protection	18.30%	621,515.98	1,915.68	-	-	-	623,431.66
- Total County	66.70%	2,265,306.85	6,982.30	-	-	-	2,272,289.15
- Cities:	Pop. per CA Dept of Finance 5/1/19						
Santa Rosa	48.94%	553,433.67	1,720.38	-	-	-	555,154.05
Petaluma	17.34%	196,154.22	609.76	-	-	-	196,763.98
Rohnert Park	12.08%	136,570.89	424.54	-	-	-	136,995.43
Windsor	7.96%	90,014.71	279.82	-	-	-	90,294.53
Healdsburg	3.48%	39,393.44	122.46	-	-	-	39,515.90
Sonoma	3.22%	36,415.54	113.20	-	-	-	36,528.74
Cloverdale	2.58%	29,170.87	90.68	-	-	-	29,261.55
Sebastopol	2.20%	24,847.42	77.24	-	-	-	24,924.66
Cotati	2.21%	24,954.54	77.57	-	-	-	25,032.11
- Total Cities	100.00%	1,130,955.30	3,515.65	-	-	-	1,134,470.95
TOTALS		3,396,262.15	10,497.95	-	-	-	3,406,760.10

County of Sonoma
Measure M sales tax revenue
Disbursement Reconciliation
Fiscal year 2019-2020 - Fourth Quarter

Gross Proceeds per CDTFA		
February	899,206.60	***
March		625,604.25
April		872,986.31
- Less ACTTC Admin Fees FY 19/20		(1,200.23)
- Less Election Costs		-
- Less State of California fees		-
- Add Interest income		5,732.13
Net Proceeds to Disburse	899,206.60	1,503,122.46

Sonoma County Regional Parks	66.70%	599,770.80	999,559.90
Cities	33.30%	299,435.80	499,030.66
	100.00%	899,206.60	1,498,590.56

Reconciliation	Ratio	Ratio	Revenue			Expenses			Net Disbursement
			Sales Tax Revenue	Sales Tax Revenue	Interest income	ACTTC	CDTFA Admin	Election	
- County Parks:									
Maintenance	25.00%	25.00%	224,801.65	374,647.64	1,406.53	(300.06)	-	-	600,555.76
Access	23.40%	23.40%	210,414.34	350,670.19	1,316.50	(280.85)	-	-	562,120.18
Protection	18.30%	18.30%	164,554.81	274,242.07	1,029.57	(219.64)	-	-	439,606.81
- Total County	66.70%	66.70%	599,770.80	999,559.90	3,752.60	(800.55)	-	-	1,602,282.75
- Cities:	Pop. per CA Dept of Finance 5/1/19	Pop. per CA Dept of Finance 5/1/20							
Santa Rosa	48.94%	48.99%	146,529.09	244,452.49	969.70	(195.80)	-	-	391,755.48
Petaluma	17.34%	17.46%	51,934.50	87,111.57	345.55	(69.77)	-	-	139,321.85
Rohnert Park	12.08%	12.15%	36,159.00	60,637.25	240.53	(48.56)	-	-	96,988.22
Windsor	7.96%	7.97%	23,832.62	39,770.62	157.76	(31.85)	-	-	63,729.15
Healdsburg	3.48%	3.41%	10,429.95	17,020.22	67.51	(13.63)	-	-	27,504.05
Sonoma	3.22%	3.12%	9,641.51	15,557.40	61.71	(12.46)	-	-	25,248.16
Cloverdale	2.58%	2.60%	7,723.39	12,971.07	51.45	(10.39)	-	-	20,735.52
Sebastopol	2.20%	2.19%	6,578.69	10,904.26	43.25	(8.73)	-	-	17,517.47
Cotati	2.21%	2.13%	6,607.05	10,605.78	42.07	(8.49)	-	-	17,246.41
- Total Cities	100.00%	100.00%	299,435.80	499,030.66	1,979.53	(399.68)	-	-	800,046.31
TOTALS			899,206.60	1,498,590.56	5,732.13	(1,200.23)	-	-	2,402,329.06

*** Per Measure M tax funds received are to be allocated based on population numbers, these numbers are updated annually in May. The February funding wire was received prior to this date and was allocated at the previous years population number allocation. March and April funding wires were received after May 1 therefore were allocated at the new population allocation values.